



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Omer Ucar,
Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Türkiye

REVIEWED BY

Daniela Ilie,
Research and Development Station for
Bovine, Romania
Christian Hanzen,
University of Liège, Belgium
Barış Atalay Uslu,
Cumhuriyet University, Türkiye

*CORRESPONDENCE

Silviu-Ionuț Borș
✉ bors.ionut@yahoo.com

RECEIVED 28 November 2023

ACCEPTED 19 December 2023

PUBLISHED 08 January 2024

CITATION

Borș A, Borș S-I and Floriștean V-C (2024)
Mastitis impact on high-yielding dairy farm's
reproduction and net present value.
Front. Vet. Sci. 10:1345782.
doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1345782

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Borș, Borș and Floriștean. This is an
open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or reproduction
is permitted which does not comply with
these terms.

Mastitis impact on high-yielding dairy farm's reproduction and net present value

Alina Borș¹, Silviu-Ionuț Borș^{2*} and Viorel-Cezar Floriștean¹

¹Department of Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, "Ion Ionescu de la Brad" Iasi University of Life Sciences, Iași, Romania, ²Research and Development Station for Cattle Breeding Dancu, Iași, Romania

Poor udder health can have a negative impact on milk production and reproductive performance, which reduces the net present value (NPV) of dairy farms. The aim of this study is therefore to investigate the relationship between clinical mastitis and NPV and the financial impact of impaired reproductive function. For this purpose, 473 dairy cows were included in our study, 146 cows with clinical mastitis (CM group) and 327 clinically healthy cows (CH group) from a high-yielding dairy farm in Romania, milking approximately 780 dairy cows with an average milk production of 46 kg milk/day. We found that, in contrast to CH cows, CM cows had a significantly lower conception rate at first service (58.2% vs. 41.7%, $p < 0.05$), third service (45.3% vs. 30.2%, $p < 0.05$), and total services (49.2% vs. 36.4%, $p < 0.05$). However, this positive effect was not observed for the average days open, which were significantly lower in CM cows than in CH cows (112 ± 4.3 days vs. 142 ± 3.1 days, $p < 0.05$). The fact that the non-pregnant CH cows had higher somatic cell counts ($>400,000$ SCC/mL) in their milk around artificial insemination (AI) and 1 month earlier than the pregnant cows ($<250,000$ SCC/mL) supports the idea that poor uterine health affects the reproductive activity of high-yielding cows. However, by using the UW-DairyRepro\$ decision support tool, we found that despite the impairment of reproductive function in dairy cows, the largest negative impacts on NPV are still the cost of milk loss (US\$14,439.4/farm/year) and treatment costs (US\$4,380/farm/year). We considered the costs associated with poor reproductive function in the CM group (US\$3,577/farm/year) as an additional cost of mastitis. Finally, it appears that the impact of mastitis on reproduction is associated with a lower chance of conception than it is with a daily risk of services.

KEYWORDS

dairy cows, mastitis, reproduction, net present values, economics

1 Introduction

Poor reproductive performance in dairy cows is influenced by a variety of factors (1–3) such as transition cow management, metabolic and udder health, lameness, estrus detection, semen handling, and the use of synchronization protocols (4, 5). Although conception rates are significantly lower at first service, which is determined at the time of pregnancy diagnosis (2, 5, 6), fertilization rates approach 100% in heifers (6) and lower at approximately 77% in lactating dairy cows (7). Between 3.2% (8) and 42.7% (9) of pregnancies can be lost, and several factors, including heat stress, milk yield, clinical mastitis, and progesterone concentrations, have been associated with this (1, 10, 11).

Mastitis is a fairly common disease that causes high treatment costs, production losses, and milk withdrawal expenses in dairy herds worldwide (12). The timing of mastitis during insemination appears to be a factor influencing cow reproduction. For example, according to Santos et al. (6), conception rates to first service were 29% for cows never diagnosed with clinical mastitis, 22% for animals diagnosed before artificial insemination (AI), 10% for cows identified after AI, and 38% for cows diagnosed after pregnancy confirmation. Ruegg and Erskine (13) showed that the increase in somatic cell count (SCC) in milk is a reliable sign of intramammary infection. Previous studies have estimated the effect of SCC on various indicators of reproductive performance, such as longer days to first service (14–16), lower risk of conception (17–20), and higher risk of pregnancy loss (1, 15). These studies (17–20) have also reported that the most unfavorable outcome was the occurrence of mastitis events after insemination and an increase in the severity of cases. These field data are consistent with previous experimental studies (21, 22) showing how high incidences of SCC can alter the hormonal profile and lead to infertility. Hudson et al. (19) reported that mastitis episodes can last up to 60 days prior to artificial insemination, supporting the theory that pre-ovulatory oocytes can be damaged by the inflammatory response of the udder (23, 24). Furthermore, in dairy cows with reduced morula quality, conceptus elongation, and embryo survival, the effects of mastitis during early lactation have been shown to disrupt early embryo development at the pre-implantation stage (25).

An intramammary infection normally leads to an influx of inflammatory cells as a protective reaction. Cytokines play a variety of roles in inflammation. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF-), a cytokine produced in mastitis and released into the bloodstream, gets to the oviduct (26) and causes the production of prostaglandin F_{2α} (27, 28). The smooth muscles of the oviduct contract as a result of prostaglandin F_{2α}, which can lead to embryonic mortality in pregnant animals (29). Prostaglandin F_{2α} not only causes the corpus luteum to regress and the progesterone level in the blood to fall but also restricts pregnancy (29). On the other hand, cytokines have been shown to have a detrimental effect on hypothalamic–pituitary function in the postpartum period, leading to abnormal gonadotropin and GnRH production (30). Due to the lack of follicular development and ovulation, reproductive problems such as anovulation after estrus, failed fertilization, and subsequent embryonic death occur (31). Pro-inflammatory cytokines are crucial in the maturation process of the ovarian follicle in addition to the process of embryo implantation in humans (32). Under physiological and pathophysiological conditions, cytokines seem to exert their pleiotropic activities in the reproductive system (33).

As far as we know, there is no international information on how mastitis affects reproduction economically. Thus, the objective of this study is to determine the net present value of mastitis in terms of milk loss, treatment costs, and impact on reproduction.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study design

The north-eastern Romanian Holstein-Friesian dairy herd served as the subject of this study. The average number of lactating cows in

the herd during the study period was approximately 780 and the average annual milk yield per cow was 14,030 kg/305 days. Depending on their body condition score and whether or not they were pregnant with twins, dry cows were kept in a separate group and moved to a “parturition group” (close-up group) 21 days before parturition.

The cows were housed in free-stall barns with concrete floors and straw bed and fed a Total Mixed Ration twice a day with *ad libitum* water access according to the level of milk production and cow size. To keep the animals healthy, standard management practices, including a cooling system coupled with a weather station for hot months, were followed. During the study period, approximately 780 cows were milked on the farm three times a day at 04.00, 12.00, and 19.00, corresponding to a daily average of 46 kg milk/cow/day.

The investigated dairy farm performed somatic cell screening once a month to identify and determine the cows with high somatic cell content that were last milked in the milking program. The investigators examined the eligible cows and excluded those with very severe lesions at the teat ends or severe mastitis [pyrexia (>40.0°C), gross signs of dehydration or recumbency]. The affected gland was subjected to a California mastitis test (CMT), which gave results of 0, trace, 1, 2, or 3. Milk was collected from each cow milked according to standard Mastitis Council protocols (34). Before the milk samples were collected, the udder and teat opening of the dairy cow were thoroughly washed, cleaned, and dried. In addition, dirt and other contaminants were wiped off the teat and udder with a dry towel. To prevent recontamination, the teats were carefully cleaned with cotton before being immersed in 70% alcohol. First, the other side of the teats of the udder was cleaned with alcohol, and samples were taken then the near side. The milk was categorized as having no clots (0), flecks (1), or clots (2). After discarding a few milliliters of milk, the collection container was held almost horizontally to collect approximately 10 mL of milk. All procedures were carried out according to the guidelines of the National Mastitis Council. Finally, all milk samples were labeled and transported to the veterinary microbiology laboratory in an ice container (34). The samples were transported on ice between the investigators' facilities and the farm for a maximum of 8 h. They were then stored at –20°C until a courier delivered them to the two accredited laboratories which used the matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) to the diagnosis of microbial infections.

During the period of the study, all dairy cows suffering from clinical mastitis were given antibiotics and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. The veterinarians on the farm were able to treat severe cases; however, if medication failed to heal the damaged gland, it was treated therapeutically. Furthermore, if necessary, other conditions were treated with additional antibiotics or medications. The farm kept records of all treatments given to the cows throughout their lactation period. In addition, electronic records of each breeding date were kept for cows enrolled in the study.

Estrous cows were identified using the AfiMilk (AfiMilk, Kibbutz Afikim, Israel) estrus daily report, and each one was examined by an experienced veterinarian. Attempts to mount other cows, chasing herd mates, restlessness, chin leaning, sniffing the vagina of herd mates and roaring, and relaxation and mucus discharge from the vulva were the signs of estrus. The manifestation of a standing estrus was considered a sign of a true estrus. According to Ciornei and Roşca (35), artificial insemination was performed by transrectal localization

of the cervix and the use of the Cassou insemination gun to pass through the transcervical passage, the insemination procedure followed the traditional Anglo-Saxon method. The sperm was deposited in the ipsilateral uterine horn to the ovary with the largest follicle, paying particular attention to this. A plastic protective film was also used.

All pregnancy and mastitis diagnostic procedures were performed by a single, experienced veterinarian. Ultrasonography of the uterus and ovaries was performed using a 5–7.5 MHz rectal convex probe (BCF EasyScan, BCF Ultrasound Australasia, Mitcham, Victoria, Australia) to evaluate ovarian structure and diagnose pregnancy 30 days after artificial insemination (AI) based on visualization of a fluid-filled uterine horn and the presence of an embryo associated with a corpus luteum. Confirmation of pregnancy was performed 90 and 221 days after AI. The study was conducted on 473 dairy cows divided into two groups: clinically healthy cows (CH group, $n = 327$) and clinical mastitis cows (CM group, $n = 146$) suffering from this disease after parturition. During the study, the cows were allocated to the pens according to day in milk (DIM) and parity. Calving data, breeding data, and DIM were taken from the AfiMilk management software (AfiMilk, Kibbutz Afikim, Israel).

2.2 Economic analysis

A total of 146 CM dairy cows and 327 CH dairy cows from a commercial dairy herd ($n = 780$) with a production of 14,030 kg milk/cow/305 days were simulated using the UW-DairyRepro\$ decision support tool (36) with the modifications described by Giordano et al. (37) to evaluate the economic impact of clinical mastitis on dairy cows reproduction. The reproductive program simulated for the CM group was similar to that of the CH group, with the difference that the reproductive parameters obtained were adjusted. The following herd, economic, and reproductive parameters were taken into account: average body weight (1800 lb), involuntary culling (28%), mortality rate (4%), stillbirths (4.9%), milk price (US\$20/cwt), cost feed lactation (US\$0.08/lb. DM), fixed cost of dry period (US\$0.06/lb. DM), value of female calves (US\$200), value of male calves (US\$100), replacement value of heifers (US\$1800), residual value (US\$0.526/lb), the adjusted voluntary waiting period (105 for the CH group and 121 for the CM group), the length of the estrus cycle (30 days for the CH group and 33 days for the CM group), the maximum milk day for breeding (300 days), the minimum milk yield for non-breeding (30 kg/day), pregnancy loss (5%), day of first pregnancy check (30 days after AI), day in gestation second pregnancy check (90 days after AI), and conception rate at first service (58.1% for the CH group and 41.7% for the CM group). The costs of reproduction programs are insemination costs (semen US\$20/cow and labor US\$5/cow) and ultrasound pregnancy monitoring (US\$100/h). The costs of a timed AI (TAI) protocol were not included for either group as the first AI postpartum was a heat breeding. The model estimated the differences in net present value (NPV, US\$/cow/year) for the reproductive programs consisting of improved conception rates at first service in the CH group compared to the CM group, to which mastitis costs (diagnosis, treatments, and the destruction of mastitis milk) were added. The estimation of NPV included the average milk production of the entire herd.

2.3 Statistical analysis

Using a binary logistic regression (logistic procedure from PASW Statistics for Windows Version 21, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) and considering lactation, days in milk, milk yield, type of estrus, repeat breeder, sire and technician, the effects of CM on conception rates at first service, number of AI per conception, interestrus interval, the number of services per calving, days open and pregnancy loss (dependent variables) were investigated. Estimates and Wald 95% limits were used to calculate odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Explanatory variables and interactions were assessed using backward elimination to ensure that only factors significantly affecting pregnancy remained in the model (38). Statistical significance was set at a p -value < 0.05 . Values are given as mean $\pm$ standard error of the mean.

3 Results

A total of 149 cows were diagnosed with clinical mastitis, with 200 quarters affected from approximately 780 dairy cows.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *E coli*, *coagulase-negative staphylococci*, *Streptococcus lutetiensis*, and *Streptococcus uberis* were the pathogens isolated from mastitis milk. Three dairy cows were eliminated from the trial despite receiving antibiotic therapy because of septicemia, a consequence of mastitis.

Table 1 shows that some reproductive indices of the CM group were significantly ($p < 0.05$) more influenced than those of the CH group. There were differences between the CM and CH groups in terms of average days to first service ($p < 0.05$), average days open ($p < 0.05$), and first service conception rate, all of which were higher in the healthy cows. The first and third conception rates and the total conception rate were also lower ($p < 0.05$) in the CM group compared to the CH group. However, no difference ($p > 0.05$) was observed for the inter-estrus intervals although the proportion of two and more

TABLE 1 Reproductive parameters of the CM group vs. CH group.

Reproductive parameters	CM group	CH group
	($n = 146$)	($n = 327$)
Average days to first service	121 $\pm$ 5.1 ^a	105 $\pm$ 4 ^b
Average days open	112 $\pm$ 4.3 ^b	142 $\pm$ 3.1 ^a
Calving interval	399 $\pm$ 6.2	392 $\pm$ 4.1
The number of AI per conception	1.5 $\pm$ 0.7	1.4 $\pm$ 0.1
First insemination conception rate (%)	41.7 ^b	58.1 ^a
Second insemination conception rate (%)	31.2	39.1
Third insemination conception rate (%)	30.2 ^b	45.3 ^a
Total insemination conception rate (%)	36.4 ^b	49.2 ^a
Open more than 150 days (%)	17.6	14.1

Different superscripts (^{a,b}) in the same row indicate significant difference ($p < 0.05$).

TABLE 2 Interestrus interval and proportion of TAI services of the CM group vs. CH group.

Parameters	CM group	CH group
	(<i>n</i> = 146)	(<i>n</i> = 327)
AI1–AI2 (M ± SEM, days)	33.5 ± 1.7	30.3 ± 0.8
AI2–AI3 (M ± SEM, days)	31.1 ± 2.9	31.9 ± 1
AI3–AI4 (M ± SEM, days)	29 ± 2.6	31.4 ± 1.3
AI4–AI5 (M ± SEM, days)	34.4 ± 6.4	30.6 ± 2.7
Proportion of one TAI service (%)	20.5	27.16
Proportion of two TAI service (%)	6.2 ^b	11.04 ^a
Proportion of more than two TAI service (%)	2.1 ^b	3.6 ^a

Different superscripts (^{a,b}) in the same row indicate significant difference ($p < 0.05$).

TABLE 3 Timing of mastitis and its effect on pregnancy rate after the first artificial insemination (AI), number of services per calving (NSC), and days open.

Time of mastitis (days postpartum)	<i>n</i>	Conception rate at first AI (%)	NSC	Days open (d)
0–21	6	50 ^{a,b}	2	100
22–42	9	44.4 ^b	2.1	106
43–63	12	41.7 ^b	1.6	108
64–84	18	38.8 ^b	1.7	106
85–100	12	58.3 ^a	1.3	117
Average mastitis cows until 100	58	44.8 ^b	1.7	109
Total mastitis cows	146	41.7 ^b	1.8	112
SEM		2.3	0.04	4.3
<i>p</i> -value		0.05	0.1	0.09

Different superscripts (^{a,b}) in the same column indicate significant difference ($p < 0.05$).

than two TAI services was higher ($p < 0.05$) in the CH group compared to the CM group (Table 2).

With regard to the timing of mastitis and its effect on pregnancy at the first service, we observed a higher conception rate ($p < 0.05$) in cows diagnosed with mastitis in the 85–100 day postpartum interval compared to cows diagnosed with mastitis in the 22–84 day interval and after 100 days postpartum. On the other hand, the number of services per calving (NSC) did not differ ($p > 0.05$) depending on the time of mastitis and days open in the investigated time intervals (Table 3). An interesting result is that in the same month of AI and 1 month before, we observed a higher number of somatic cells ($p < 0.05$) in the milk of the non-pregnant cows from the CH group than in the milk of the pregnant cows from the same group (Table 4).

According to the odds ratio analysis, the interaction between clinical mastitis and reproduction had a significant influence on the conception rate at first service. This means that cows from the CH group were 1.9 times more likely to remain pregnant compared to the CM group (Table 5).

The reproduction simulation program used in this experiment showed that the NPV of the CM group was US\$-153.4/CM cow/year

lower than that of the CH group. This study included the decrease in pregnancy rates at first service in the CM group compared to the CH group by approximately 16.5%, which can cause a loss of US\$3,577/year just for the impact on replacement costs, reproduction costs, and calf value (Table 6). Added to this economic loss is the high cost of treating clinical mastitis, which amounts to \$30/cow/year, and the loss of milk for 5 days (waiting time for antibiotics), which can amount to \$98.9/cow/year (Table 6).

4 Discussion

One of the most common diseases in dairy cows is clinical mastitis, which can affect reproductive parameters and increase costs by reducing reproduction. To the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated the financial impact of mastitis in dairy cows as an additional effect on reproduction. On the other hand, little is known about how clinical mastitis affects reproductive function in a high-yielding dairy farm in a temperate continental climate in Romania.

In our study, the conception rate at the first service, the conception rate at the third service, and the total conception rate were significantly affected in CM cows compared with CH cows but not the average days open which is significantly lower in CM cows. This means that although CM cows manage to conceive earlier, they do not have comparable reproductive performance to CH cows. The odds ratio analysis indicates that the CM group's lower conception rate at first service is more likely than the CH cows. The reason for this could be insufficient follicular growth, anovulation caused by an impaired LH surge, or a decrease in estrogen synthesis leading to loss of estrus (16).

We observed a much lower conception rate at first service when cows expressed their clinical mastitis cases before AI in the interval of 22–84 days postpartum compared to the interval of 85–100 days postpartum and more. Conception rate at third insemination and total insemination conception rate were also impaired in CM cows compared with CH cows. The occurrence of clinical mastitis during early lactation and the days open period had detrimental effects on reproductive performance, possibly by altering the endocrine profile, follicular development (16), and probably uterine involution. To measure how GnRH, LH, cortisol, and progesterone (P4) are affected by inflammation, Battaglia et al. (39) administered intravenous endotoxin, a cell wall component of Gram-negative bacteria that triggers an inflammatory response, to ewes. They also took simultaneous samples of jugular and pituitary portal blood at 10-min intervals and found lower GnRH pulse amplitude, lower concentrations of GnRH and LH, and increased concentrations of cortisol and P4. According to Darbon et al. (40), inflammation triggers the immune system and causes the release of cytokines that can block the effect of FSH on the formation of LH receptors in cultured rat granulosa cells as well as FSH-induced cAMP production. According to another study (41), cytokines released after endotoxin exposure inhibit GnRH by altering the production of nitric oxide, which blocks the pulsatile secretion of LH but not FSH. Therefore, alterations in LH and FSH activity or function may be one means by which mastitis affects reproductive function.

Systemic inflammation is another explanation, as it seems to play a role in balancing maladaptation and risk of disease or poor performance with adaptive/homeostatic changes that support high

TABLE 4 Somatic cells count of the pregnant and non-pregnant dairy cows from the CH group.

Reproductive status	SCC-0	SCC-1	SCC-2	SCC-3	SCC-4	SCC-BD	SCC-AC
Pregnant cows	235.6 ± 39.2 ^b	323.5 ± 53.8 ^b	194.6 ± 52.1	152.4 ± 41.1	160.7 ± 57.1	472.7 ± 74.6 ^a	154.1 ± 39.5
Non-pregnant cows	470.3 ± 95 ^a	465.5 ± 95.6 ^a	231.0 ± 60	176 ± 36.6	145.4 ± 41.7	387.3 ± 83.7 ^b	227.5 ± 72.8

SCC = SCC (multiplied by 10³ cells/mL).

SCC-0 = somatic cell count in the month of AI.

SCC-1 = somatic cell count before 1 month of the AI.

SCC-2 = somatic cell count before 2 month of the AI month.

SCC-3 = somatic cell count before 3 month of the AI month.

SCC-4 = somatic cell count before 4 month of the AI month.

SCC-BD = somatic cell count before dry.

SCC-AC = somatic cell after calving.

Different superscripts (a,b) in the same column indicate significant difference ($p < 0.05$).

TABLE 5 Odds ratios of the conception rate at first service variables included in the final logistic regression model ($n = 473$).

Factor	Class	<i>n</i>	% pregnancy	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	<i>p</i>
Mastitis	CH group	190/327	58.1	Reference		
	CM group	61/146	41.7	1.9	1.3–2.9	<0.001

R^2 Nagelkerke = 0.15.

TABLE 6 Contribution to net present value (US\$/cow/year).

Items (US\$/cow/year)	CH group	CM group	Difference
Net present value	4,064.5	3,911.1	−153.4
Income over feed cost	4,306.2	4,313.9	7.7
Replacement cost	−280.6	−300.4	−19.8
Reproductive cost	−19.8	−25.4	−5.6
Calf value	58.7	51.9	−6.8
Mastitis treatment value	0	−30	−30
Milk loss value	0	−98.9	−98.9

milk yield. When inflammation is severe enough to cause systemic signs, fever and reduced feed intake also occur (42). The degree of trauma and bacterial contamination of the uterus or mammary gland are all related to the degree of systemic inflammation and lead to the release of proinflammatory cytokines (43). According to Horst et al. (44), inflammation induced by immune activation leads to reduced dry matter intake, which in turn causes hypocalcemia, increased levels of non-esterified fatty acids and ketosis. Their theory is that this contradicts the dogma of association, which claims that certain risk factors increase disease risk, reduce milk yield, or lower fertility. Instead, when present in excess, they represent the direct or indirect effects of inflammation (43). Furman et al. (45) proposed that the balance of signals representing pathogens [pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP)] and tissue damage [damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMP)] modulate immune responses that can induce acute inflammation. However, DAMPs are thought to cause systemic inflammation associated with metabolic problems and more permanent tissue damage. This may be a good explanation for the low conception rate at the third service and total insemination conception

rates for the CM group, considering that 60.2% of clinical mastitis cases occur at 100 days postpartum.

A very interesting aspect is that the non-pregnant cows from the CH group had more SCC in their milk around the time of artificial insemination and 1 month earlier than the pregnant cows. In the study by Rearte et al. (46), the conception risk in cows with high SCC before insemination was less affected than in the study by Lavon et al. (47), but the negative effect observed in severe cases of mastitis was almost the same. Based on the above data, we can hypothesize that the adverse effects of SCC on fertility appear to be more strongly associated with a reduction in the risk of conception than with the daily risk of service (46).

The study aimed to estimate as far as possible the additional costs associated with infertility as a side effect of clinical mastitis. The negative impact on the net present value consists of replacement costs, reproduction costs, calf value, mastitis treatment costs, and the value of milk loss. The most expensive losses are milk losses (US\$14,439.4/farm/year) and those with mastitis treatment (US\$4,380/farm/yr). The farm's reproductive losses amount to approximately US\$24/CM cow/year, resulting in a total net present value loss of US\$3,577/farm/year. However, our estimate of the total net loss due to clinical mastitis on the farm studied is approximately US\$22,396.4/farm/year.

The impact of mastitis on herds and the economy has been demonstrated in numerous studies (48–51). According to Huijps et al. (49) and Bonestroo et al. (12), the main factors influencing the economic impact of mastitis are the decrease in milk production due to clinical and subclinical cases, the disposal of milk, the cost of drugs to treat clinical cases, the labor costs associated with the treatment of clinical cases, the decrease in the milk selling price and the culling of animals. The decline in milk production and culling have the greatest financial impact on the total cost of mastitis according to Huijps et al. (49) and Bonestroo et al. (12). Nevertheless, the producer underestimates the decline in milk yield (49). In our study, the decline in milk yield of the farm is the primary factor influencing the NPV compared to the decline in reproductive function and the treatment

costs of mastitis. However, the latter two parameters cannot be neglected as together they cause a net present value loss of approximately US\$8,000/farm/year. Considering that the non-pregnant cows from the CH group had a high number of SCC compared to the pregnant cows, we can speculate that also in this case, there are additional costs to the reproductive losses, costs that we can hardly estimate, but we are sure that they add up to the losses leading to the NPV.

5 Conclusion

The effect of mastitis on reproduction appears to be associated with a lower chance of conception rather than the daily risk of services. Economically, the most expensive costs are those of mastitis treatment and milk loss; subsequent reproductive losses are less expensive than the initial ones. Our study highlights the importance of udder health in dairy farming. Improving udder health can lead to better reproductive performance and higher NPV for dairy farms.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

All dairy cows were handled in accordance with the directives of the European Union on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes (Dir 2010/63/EU). The experimental protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Life Sciences, 700489 Iasi, Romania. Efforts were made to minimize animal handling and stress. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

References

1. Chebel RC, Santos JE, Reynolds JP, Cerri RL, Juchem SO, Overton M. Factors affecting conception rate after artificial insemination and pregnancy loss in lactating dairy cows. *Anim Reprod Sci.* (2004) 84:239–55. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2003.12.012
2. Demetrio DG, Santos RM, Demetrio CG, Vasconcelos JL. Factors affecting conception rates following artificial insemination or embryo transfer in lactating Holstein cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (2007) 90:5073–82. doi: 10.3168/jds.2007-0223
3. Santos JE, Rutigliano HM, Sá Filho MF. Risk factors for resumption of postpartum estrous cycles and embryonic survival in lactating dairy cows. *Anim Reprod Sci.* (2009) 110:207–21. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2008.01.014
4. Caraviello DZ, Weigel KA, Fricke PM, Wiltbank MC, Florent MJ, Cook NB, et al. Survey of management practices on reproductive performance of dairy cattle on large US commercial farms. *J Dairy Sci.* (2006) 89:4723–35. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72522-X
5. Lucy MC. Reproductive loss in high-producing dairy cattle: where will it end? *J Dairy Sci.* (2001) 84:1277–93. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)70158-0
6. Santos JE, Thatcher WW, Chebel RC, Cerri RL, Galvão KN. The effect of embryonic death rates in cattle on the efficacy of estrus synchronization programs. *Anim Reprod Sci.* (2004) 82-83:513–35. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2004.04.015
7. Sartori R, Sartor-Bergfelt R, Mertens SA, Guenther JN, Parrish JJ, Wiltbank MC. Fertilization and early embryonic development in heifers and lactating cows in summer

Author contributions

AB: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. S-IB: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. V-CF: Formal analysis, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. All funding for completion of this study was supported by the farm owner.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the herd owners for allowing us to use their farms and cows to conduct the study and to Simona Vlad-Sabie for constructive criticism of the manuscript and English revision.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

- and lactating and dry cows in winter. *J Dairy Sci.* (2002) 85:2803–12. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74367-1
8. Silke V, Diskin MG, Kenny DA, Boland MP, Dillon P, Mee JF, et al. Extent, pattern and factors associated with late embryonic loss in dairy cows. *Anim Reprod Sci.* (2002) 71:1–12. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4320(02)00016-7
9. Cartmill JA, El-Zarkouny SZ, Hensley BA, Rozell TG, Smith JF, Stevenson JS. An alternative AI breeding protocol for dairy cows exposed to elevated ambient temperatures before or after calving or both. *J Dairy Sci.* (2001) 84:799–806. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)74536-5
10. Green MP, Hunter MG, Mann GE. Relationships between maternal hormone secretion and embryo development on day 5 of pregnancy in dairy cows. *Anim Reprod Sci.* (2005) 88:179–89. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2004.12.007
11. Mann GE, Lamming GE. Relationship between maternal endocrine environment, early embryo development and inhibition of the luteolytic mechanism in cows. *Reproduction.* (2001) 121:175–80. doi: 10.1530/rep.0.1210175
12. Bonestroo J, Fall N, Hogeveen H, Emanuelson U, Klaas IC, van der Voort M. The costs of chronic mastitis: a simulation study of an automatic milking system farm. *Prev Vet Med.* (2023) 210:105799. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2022.105799
13. Ruegg PL, Erskine RJ. Mammary gland health and disorders In: BP Smith, editor. *Large Animal Internal Medicine.* 6th ed: Elsevier (2020). 1118–50.

14. Barker AR, Schrick FN, Lewis MJ, Dowlen HH, Oliver SP. Influence of clinical mastitis during early lactation on reproductive performance of Jersey cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (1998) 81:1285–90. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(98)75690-5
15. Pinedo PJ, Donovan A, Rae O, DeLapaz J. *Association between paratuberculosis infection and general immune status in dairy cattle*. Minneapolis, MN: International Association for Paratuberculosis (2009). 127 p.
16. Schrick FN, Hockett ME, Saxton AM, Lewis MJ, Dowlen HH, Oliver SP. Influence of subclinical mastitis during early lactation on reproductive parameters. *J Dairy Sci.* (2001) 84:1407–12. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)70172-5
17. Fuenzalida MJ, Fricke PM, Ruegg PL. The association between occurrence and severity of subclinical and clinical mastitis on pregnancies per artificial insemination at first service of Holstein cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (2015) 98:3791–805. doi: 10.3168/jds.2014-8997
18. Hertl JA, Gröhn YT, Leach JD, Bar D, Bennett GJ, González RN, et al. Effects of clinical mastitis caused by gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and other organisms on the probability of conception in New York state Holstein dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (2010) 93:1551–60. doi: 10.3168/jds.2009-2599
19. Hudson CD, Bradley AJ, Breen JE, Green MJ. Associations between udder health and reproductive performance in United Kingdom dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (2012) 95:3683–97. doi: 10.3168/jds.2011-4629
20. Lavon Y, Leitner G, Klipper E, Moallem U, Meidan R, Wolfenson D. Subclinical, chronic intramammary infection lowers steroid concentrations and gene expression in bovine preovulatory follicles. *Domest Anim Endocrinol.* (2011) 40:98–109. doi: 10.1016/j.domaniend.2010.09.004
21. Herath S, Williams EJ, Lilly ST, Gilbert RO, Dobson H, Bryant CE, et al. Ovarian follicular cells have innate immune capabilities that modulate their endocrine function. *Reproduction.* (2007) 134:683–93. doi: 10.1530/REP-07-0229
22. Lavon Y, Leitner G, Moallem U, Klipper E, Voet H, Jacoby S, et al. Immediate and carryover effects of gram-negative and gram-positive toxin-induced mastitis on follicular function in dairy cows. *Theriogenology.* (2011) 76:942–53. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2011.05.001
23. Furman O, Leitner G, Roth Z, Lavon Y, Jacoby S, Wolfenson D. Experimental model of toxin-induced subclinical mastitis and its effect on disruption of follicular function in cows. *Theriogenology.* (2014) 82:1165–72. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2014.08.002
24. Roth Z, Dvir A, Kalo D, Lavon Y, Krifucks O, Wolfenson D, et al. Naturally occurring mastitis disrupts developmental competence of bovine oocytes. *J Dairy Sci.* (2013) 96:6499–505. doi: 10.3168/jds.2013-6903
25. Ribeiro ES, Gomes G, Greco LF, Cerri RLA, Vieira-Neto A, Monteiro PLJ Jr, et al. Carryover effect of postpartum inflammatory diseases on developmental biology and fertility in lactating dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (2016) 99:2201–20. doi: 10.3168/jds.2015-10337
26. Lehtolainen T, Röntved C, Pyörälä S. Serum amyloid a and TNF alpha in serum and milk during experimental endotoxin mastitis. *Vet Res.* (2004) 35:651–9. doi: 10.1051/vetres:2004043
27. Skarzynski DJ, Miyamoto Y, Okuda K. Production of prostaglandin f(2alpha) by cultured bovine endometrial cells in response to tumor necrosis factor alpha: cell type specificity and intracellular mechanisms. *Biol Reprod.* (2000) 62:1116–20. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod62.5.1116
28. Wijayagunawardane MP, Gabler C, Killian G, Miyamoto A. Tumor necrosis factor alpha in the bovine oviduct during the estrous cycle: messenger RNA expression and effect on secretion of prostaglandins, endothelin-1, and angiotensin II. *Biol Reprod.* (2003) 69:1341–6. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.103.017327
29. Weems CW, Weems YS, Randel RD. Prostaglandins and reproduction in female farm animals. *Vet J.* (2006) 171:206–28. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2004.11.014
30. Sheldon IM, Williams EJ, Miller AN, Nash DM, Herath S. Uterine diseases in cattle after parturition. *Vet J.* (2008) 176:115–21. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.12.031
31. Hansen PJ, Soto P, Natzke RP. Mastitis and fertility in cattle – possible involvement of inflammation or immune activation in embryonic mortality. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* (2004) 51:294–301. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0897.2004.00160.x
32. Adamczak R, Ukleja-Sokołowska N, Lis K, Dubiel M. Function of follicular cytokines: roles played during maturation, development and implantation of embryo. *Medicina (Kaunas).* (2021) 57:1251. doi: 10.3390/medicina57111251
33. Stassi AF, Baravalle ME, Belotti EM, Rey F, Gareis NC, Díaz PU, et al. Altered expression of cytokines IL-1α, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α in bovine follicular persistence. *Theriogenology.* (2017) 97:104–12. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2017.04.033
34. National Mastitis Council. *Microbiological procedures for the diagnosis of udder infection*. Arlington, VA: National Mastitis Council Inc (2004).
35. Ciornei SG, Roșca P. Upgrading the fixed-time artificial insemination (FTAI) protocol in Romanian buffaloes. *Front Vet Sci.* (2023) 10:1265060. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1265060
36. Giordano JO, Fricke PM, Wiltbank MC, Cabrera VE. An economic decision-making support system for selection of reproductive management programs on dairy farms. *J Dairy Sci.* (2011) 94:6216–32. doi: 10.3168/jds.2011-4376
37. Giordano JO, Fricke PM, Cabrera VE. Economics of resynchronization strategies including chemical tests to identify nonpregnant cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (2013) 96:949–61. doi: 10.3168/jds.2012-5704
38. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. *Applied logistic regression*. New York: Wiley (1989). 411 p.
39. Battaglia DF, Bowen JM, Krasa HB, Thrun LA, Viguie C, Karsch FJ. Immune stress and reproductive neuroendocrine function: physiologic evidence for profound inhibition of GnRH secretion. *Biol Reprod.* (1997) 54:93.
40. Darbon JM, Oury F, Laredo J, Bayard F. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha inhibits follicle-stimulating hormone-induced differentiation in cultured rat granulosa cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (1989) 163:1038–46. doi: 10.1016/0006-291x(89)92326-7
41. McCann SM, Kimura M, Karanth S, Yu WH, Rettori V. Nitric oxide controls the hypothalamic-pituitary response to cytokines. *Neuroimmunomodulation.* (1997) 4:98–106. doi: 10.1159/000097327
42. Brown WE, Bradford BJ. Invited review: mechanisms of hypophagia during disease. *J Dairy Sci.* (2021) 104:9418–36. doi: 10.3168/jds.2021-20217
43. LeBlanc SJ. Relationship of peripartum inflammation with reproductive health in dairy cows. *JDS Commun.* (2023) 4:230–4. doi: 10.3168/jds.2022-0328
44. Horst EA, Kvidera SK, Baumgard LH. Invited review: the influence of immune activation on transition cow health and performance—a critical evaluation of traditional dogmas. *J Dairy Sci.* (2021) 104:8380–410. doi: 10.3168/jds.2021-20330
45. Furman D, Campisi J, Verdin E, Carrera-Bastos P, Targ S, Franceschi C, et al. Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease across the life span. *Nat Med.* (2019) 25:1822–32. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0675-0
46. Rearte R, Corva SG, de la Sota RL, Lacau-Mengido IM, Giuliodori MJ. Associations of somatic cell count with milk yield and reproductive performance in grazing dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (2022) 105:6251–60. doi: 10.3168/jds.2021-21504
47. Lavon Y, Ezra E, Leitner G, Wolfenson D. Association of conception rate with pattern and level of somatic cell count elevation relative to time of insemination in dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (2011) 94:4538–45. doi: 10.3168/jds.2011-4293
48. Halasa T, Huijps K, Østerås O, Hogeveen H. Economic effects of bovine mastitis and mastitis management: a review. *Vet Q.* (2007) 29:18–31. doi: 10.1080/01652176.2007.9695224
49. Huijps K, Lam TJ, Hogeveen H. Costs of mastitis: facts and perception. *J Dairy Res.* (2008) 75:113–20. doi: 10.1017/S0022029907002932
50. Lopes MA, Demeu FA, Da Rocha CMBM, Costa GM, Franco Neto A, Santos G. Avaliação do impacto econômico da mastite em rebanhos bovinos leiteiros. *Arq Inst Biol.* (2012) 79:477–83. doi: 10.1590/S1808-16572012000400003
51. Seegers H, Fourichon C, Beaudeau F. Production effects related to mastitis and mastitis economics in dairy cattle herds. *Vet Res.* (2003) 34:475–91. doi: 10.1051/vetres:2003027